

- GDa: Repeatedly [?] I think John Humphrys' tone of voice is inappropriatethere is not a complaint on the table.
- SW Gilligan put him back on track during the interviews.
- FM: Do we know about Government ministers' opportunity to respond?
- RSa: [Lists them.....]
- FM: Think that is a substantial list.
- PNJ Think these are points worth making in exchanges with him [Campbell].
- RD Thought Richard Sambrook's letters excellent.
- GDy: Richard Sambrook offered him the process He has not come near me or Gavyn
- GDa: Can we agree that the Producers' Guidelines were adhered to?
- SH I am uneasy about consultation with Number 10. Could say we might have gone to Number 10 sooner
- GDa I do want to nod in that direction that the records we kept were not accurate.
Journalists and newspapers?
- DG Was it vetted properly? If it was vetted?
- RSa The story has changed Originally Kevin Marsh said he had vetted it which would have been normal procedure. But since then transpires he did not Have been on the record saying we will look at it
- SH. Thing is BBC work is subject to other paper's editing
- GDy: I am against it, but it is difficult to stop it because the people we employ on freelance contracts. I do not think it is relevant for today and should not comment on it today
- GDa I do think it is relevant Andrew Gilligan made allegation in Mail on Sunday Think people are concerned about this He got us into trouble with Mail on Sunday.
- GDy If you say it tonight you are disowning Andrew Gilligan
- PNJ: Not if Richard Sambrook already said rules would be tightened
- DG: Would rather say something about this tonight than consultation
- RR: It is an important issue There are people who write expressing their opinion We must look at the principle We might decide it should go on. But we must look at it.
- SW: You could say you support Richard Sambrook's intention to look at this again.

BBC/14/0032 961