

2. c. Executive Summary paragraph 6, first bullet states:

"As a result of the intelligence we judge that Iraq has.... continued to produce chemical and biological agents;"

I have seen intelligence that suggests that production of chemical agents has continued but in my judgement this warrants no stronger statement than ""Iraq has.... *probably* continued to produce chemical [and biological] agents"

2. d. Executive Summary paragraph 6, second bullet states:

"As a result of the intelligence we judge that Iraq has.....military plans for the use of chemical. . weapons. Some of these weapons are deployable within 45 minutes of an order to use them"

This is based on a single source. It is not clear what is meant by "weapons are deployable within 45 minutes" The judgement is too strong considering the intelligence on which it is based

2 e. Chapter 3, paragraph 1, first bullet

This contains a statement about "recent production of chemical [and biological] agents" which cannot be supported by intelligence available to me.

2 f. Chapter 3, paragraph 5, first bullet states that:

"Intelligence shows that Saddam attaches great importance to the possession of chemical[and biological] weapons..."

I believe that the use of the term "shows that" is far too strong. This is in contrast to other statements in this paragraph which use the term "intelligence indicates that" which is more balanced.

2. g. Chapter 3, paragraph 8, first bullet states that:

"Intelligence shows that Iraq has continued to produce chemical agent"

Based on the intelligence available to me it warrants no stronger a statement than "Iraq has probably continued to produce chemical agent"



CAB/33/0115