

Meeting 7 July 2003

Richard Hatfield

Martin Howard

David Kelly

Bryan Wells

H [Hatfield] - Number things pursue. BBC defending G [Gilligan]. FAC suggest follow up G's [Gilligan's] contact(s).

Therefore i. Follow-up discrepancies in G's [Gilligan's] account + DK's [David Kelly's].

(Is there a 2nd?).

ii. MOD may make statement.

Sat [Saturday] Times if stretched could be read as David Kelly, therefore need to make sure.

H [Hatfield] - DK's [David Kelly's] relation with G [Gilligan].

1st time consciously met him, IISS seminar 2002. Discussion over coffee. Never met before?

K [Kelly] - Cannot recall speaking with him. Certainly haven't had meeting. Haven't had purposeful discussion. Not sure he'd recog [recognise] him before 2002 IISS seminar.

H [Hatfield] - Cldn't [couldn't] have forgotten if have?

K [Kelly] - Can't recall.

DK [David Kelly] - Met in Feb 45 - 1 hr. Charing X Hotel. Gilligan's suggestion. Not recall but cannot exclude. (Nothing.) Nothing then til May.

MH [Martin Howard] - Talk to journalists a lot?

MOD/45/0016

DK [David Kelly] - Wld get people contact 3-4 x week. Most US commentators are technical .

MH [Martin Howard] - He would have stuck out.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - May Meeting - why 45 min?

DK [David Kelly] - 17.00. AG [Andrew Gilligan] turned up 17.15. Believe left @ 6 → [to catch] 6.30 train. May have ct [caught] 7 [7.00pm] train → 1.15 meeting.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Dossier. What were you involved in.

DK [David Kelly] - idea arose in April. DK [David Kelly] draft bit May-June. Then recall went into hmbo. Conscious in Sept (leave in Aug). ~~████████████████████~~ asked in mid Sept) to look @ bio + ask if any extra. He suggested smallpox, but rejected (no int [interest]). That was that.

MH [Martin Howard] - No-one rang up on textual amendments?

DK [David Kelly] - No.

MH [Martin Howard] - did you discuss Dossier with DIS?

DK [David Kelly] - don't recall in-depth discussion.

DK [David Kelly] - Never ack [acknowledged] outside FCO contributed to UK Dossier only.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - How had you described yourself to G [Gilligan]? What wld be

DK [David Kelly] - Assume he knows senior adviser to CPAC. People have all sorts of ideas. Have high prof [profile] in UN dimension. Don't think people

RH [Richard Hatfield] - G [Gilligan] thought part of int [intelligence]?

MOD/45/0017

DK [David Kelly] - can't exclude. Possible. Don't describe myself as int. Depends on who he asks. UN thinks I'm part of int. Haven't encouraged G [Gilligan] to think that.

DK [David Kelly] - Conf [confident] its not as long as he said.

MH [Martin Howard] - Notes?

DK [David Kelly] - he produced small notebook + pencil. Some notes but not copious.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - "Doss was [transformed].... sexier". Did you say or something similar?

DK [David Kelly] - No. Don't recall using "sexier". Transformation - a week, - No.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Nothing said that cld [could] be construed.

DK [David Kelly] - Cannot recall. My memory was Dossier was fleeting in 45 mins disc[discussion].

RH [Richard Hatfield] - G's [Gilligan's] flavour was that meeting conced [concentrated] on Dossier. Why 45 min meeting important.

MH [Martin Howard] - "Impact" - exact or paraphrase.

DK [David Kelly] - do use that phrase, but can't recall if said to G [Gilligan]. Its in foreward by PM, rather just in text therefore impact. But not say it in a critical way.

MH [Martin Howard] - You didn't see int report. (DK [David Kelly] - No - nor subsequently.) Were you aware that was int to that effect.

DK [David Kelly] - No, not until public dom [domain]. Don't recall DIS.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - "claim 45 mn...against their wishes" - did you say?

MOD | 45 | 0018

DK [David Kelly] - Can't believe I said.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - 3 specific things: not in original draft - DK: didn't know against the wishes -

MH [Martin Howard] - 45 min "not aware of Iraq systems"

RH [Richard Hatfield] - What was G [Gilligan] asking you to respond to?

DK [David Kelly] - Talking why WMD not used. [weather]/C2 In terms of C2 that 45 mins came up.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Different from context of G's [Gilligan's] description.

DK [David Kelly] - wondering if led on.

DK [David Kelly] - stock answer on 45 mins in early 90s [policy] is fill to use. Location, then deployment to site to use. Time consuming. Therefore can't relate it to anything he knows of. Recog not familiar with SCUDS.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Uranium.

DK [David Kelly] - Sure it came up, didn't discuss in depth. Wldn't have said other than El B's [El Baradei's].

RH [Richard Hatfield] - "Campbell".

DK [David Kelly] - come in letter. Unable to comment.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - how raise?

DK [David Kelly] - Editing on Dossier.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - what say? What mean by "unable to comment".

DK [David Kelly] - It would have been a dismissive response.

MOD | 45 | 0019

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Did you raise C [Campbell] word?

DK [David Kelly] - No.

DK [David Kelly] - would have been in context of 45 mins.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - No 10 "repeatedly" asked to add?

DK [David Kelly] - Not me.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Disc of Iraq source?

DK [David Kelly] - No idea who source was.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Didn't speculate source

DK [David Kelly] - No.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - Weaponisation

DK [David Kelly] - Didn't say + believe otherwise.

RH [Richard Hatfield] - 30% CW; more likely BW prog. 100% conf CW prog. 30%

DK [David Kelly] - 30% is sort of figure for a current production programme.
100% for a CW prog (ie agent).

RH [Richard Hatfield] - G {Gilligan} says source "smaller threat".

DK [David Kelly] - believes specific and current threat.

MH [Martin Howard] - G's [Gilligan's] other sources?

DK [David Kelly] - Not aware. But some descriptions do match + concerned that
he's hanging other stories on to him.

MOD | 45 / 0020

MH [Martin Howard] - Anybody from BBC tried contact? G [Gilligan]?

DK [David Kelly] - No (G)[Gilligan]. Only personnel probably is Susan Watts (science). Not following up G [Gilligan].

MOD/45/0021